1	MATTHEW RIGHETTI {121012} JOHN GLUGOSKI {191551}	
2	MICHAEL RIGHETTI {258541} RIGHETTI · GLUGOSKI, P.C.	
3	456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1400	
4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 983-0900	
5	Facsimile: (415) 397-9005	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	THE SUPERIOR COU	RT OF CALIFORNIA
8	COUNTY OF	RIVERSIDE
9		
10	MICHAEL REHSE on behalf of himself and others similarly situated.	Case No.: RIC 1307773
11		CLASS ACTION
12	PLAINTIFF,	PLAINTIFF MICHAEL REHSE'S FIRST
13	vs.	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, RESTITUTION AND
14	<b>V</b> 3.	PENALTIES:
15	BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. and	1. FAILURE TO PAY WAGES FOR ALL TIME WORKED AT
16	DOES 1 to 100, Inclusive.	MINIMUM WAGE OR OVERTIME RATES IN VIOLATION OF
17	DEFENDANTS.	LABOR CODE SECTIONS 1194 AND 1197
18		2. FAILURE TO REIMBURSE
19		EXPENSES PURSUANT TO LABOR CODE SECTION 2802
20		3. FAILURE TO PROVIDE
21		COMPLETE AND ACCURATE WAGE STATEMENTS IN
22		VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE SECTION 226
23		4. VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE
24		SECTIONS 201- 203
25		5. UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES IN VIOLATION OF BUSINESS
26		AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200, et seq.
27 28		6. VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE SECTION 2698-99

**NOW COMES** Plaintiff, MICHAEL REHSE ("Plaintiff"), who alleges and complains against DEFENDANTS BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC., and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, (hereinafter, collectively referred to as "Defendants") as follows:

### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a class action lawsuit seeking unpaid wages, interest, and restitution based on Defendants' failure to pay the following: wages for all hours worked at minimum wage, regular rate, and/or overtime rate of pay; unpaid overtime wages based on improperly calculated overtime; failure to reimburse for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer, injunctive relief and other equitable relief, reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to California law, costs, and interest brought on behalf of Plaintiff and others similarly situated.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This class action is brought pursuant to Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. The monetary damages and restitution sought by Plaintiffs will be established according to proof at trial. The claims of individual class members, including Plaintiffs, are under the \$75,000 diversity jurisdictional threshold for federal court. For example, a class member who was or has been employed for a relatively brief period could never reasonably be expected to receive a recovery of \$75,000 or more. The total damages for the entire case are not anticipated to exceed \$5,000,000.00. Further there is no federal question at issue, as all the issues related to payment wages alleged herein are based solely on California law and statutes, including the Labor Code, Civil Code, Code of Civil Procedure, and Business and Professions Code. Finally, more than 75% of the class resides in California as the claims are brought only on behalf of California workers. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's and the Class Members' claims for unpaid wages for all time worked at minimum wage, regular rate, or overtime rate of pay; improperly calculated overtime

wages; expense reimbursement; and claims for restitution under Business & Professions Code section 17200 *et seq.* because Defendants employed Plaintiff and the alleged injuries occurred in California, in Riverside County, at Defendants Moreno Valley location.

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and other members of the general public similarly-situated, including non-exempt employees working in California for Defendants within the four years prior to the filing of this action. The named Plaintiff and the class of persons on whose behalf this action is filed are current, former and/or future employees of Defendants working as non-exempt employees. At all times mentioned herein, the currently named Plaintiff is and was a resident of and domiciled in California and was employed in a non-exempt position by Defendants, within the four years prior to the filing of the complaint.
- 4. Plaintiff is a former employee of Defendants having worked for Defendants as a mechanic/technician from October 2008 to January 2011 and again from January 2012 to March 2013 at the Moreno Valley and Riverside Goodyear/Certified Tire locations.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. is authorized to do business within the State of California and is doing business in the State of California and at all times relevant hereto violated or caused to be violated the wage and hour provisions of the Labor Code and/or any provision of the Industrial Welfare Commission's wage orders regulating hours and days of work as alleged in more detail herein. Defendants employed Plaintiff and other putative class members in Riverside County at its retail location in Moreno Valley.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants DOES 1 through 50 are corporations, or are other business entities or organizations of a nature unknown to Plaintiff.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants DOES 51 through 100 are individuals unknown to Plaintiff. Each of the individual defendants is sued individually and in his or her capacity as an agent, shareholder, owner, representative, manager,

supervisor, independent contractor and/or employee of each Defendant who violated or caused to be violated the minimum wage and overtime provisions of the Labor Code and/or any provision of the Industrial Welfare Commission's wage orders regulating hours and days of work.

- 8. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names of Defendants DOES 1 through 100. Plaintiff sues said defendants by said fictitious names, and will amend this complaint when the true names and capacities are ascertained or when such facts pertaining to liability are ascertained, or as permitted by law or by the Court. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the fictitiously named defendants is in some manner responsible for the events and allegations set forth in this complaint.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all relevant times, each defendant was an employer, was the principal, agent, partner, joint venturer, joint employer, officer, director, controlling shareholder, subsidiary, affiliate, parent corporation, successor in interest and/or predecessor in interest of some or all of the other defendants, and was engaged with some or all of the other defendants in a joint enterprise for profit, and bore such other relationships to some or all of the other defendants so as to be liable for their conduct with respect to the matters alleged in this complaint. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that each defendant acted pursuant to and within the scope of the relationships alleged above, and that at all relevant times, each defendant knew or should have known about, authorized, ratified, adopted, approved, controlled, aided and abetted the conduct of all other defendants. As used in this complaint, "Defendant" or "defendant" means "Defendants and each of them," and refers to the defendants named in the particular cause of action in which the word appears and includes all named Defendants and Does I through 100.
- 10. At all times mentioned herein, each defendant was the co-conspirator, agent, servant, employee, joint employer and/or joint venturer of each of the other defendants and was acting within the course and scope of said conspiracy, agency, employment, joint employment and/or joint venture and with the permission and consent of each of the other Defendants.
- 11. Plaintiff makes the allegations in this complaint without any admission that, as to any particular allegation, Plaintiff bears the burden of pleading, proving, or persuading and Plaintiff

l

### IV. DESCRIPTION OF ILLEGAL PAY PRACTICES

12. Failure to pay wages for all hours worked at the legal minimum wage rate: Defendants use a time and attendance timekeeping policy and practice which resulted in time during which non-exempt mechanic and technician employees were subject to the control of their employer but were not paid wages for that time. Defendants' mechanic and technician employees, including Plaintiff, were compensated on a commission pay plan. However, Defendants required and expected Plaintiff and the class members to spend substantial time under the control of the Defendants and performing non-commission tasks (i.e., tasks for which Plaintiff and the class members were paid nothing under Defendants' pay plan) and then averaged the commission pay over all hours worked. Compliance with California's minimum wage law is determined by analyzing the compensation paid for each hour worked; averaging hourly compensation is not permitted under California law.

which includes all time that an employee is under control of the employer and including all time that the employee is suffered and permitted to work. This includes the time an employee spends, either directly or indirectly, performing services which inure to the benefit of the employer and any time during which an employer's policies and procedures do not allow employees to use time effectively for their own purposes. (Morillion v. Royal Packing Co. (2000) 22 Cal.4th 575, 586-588; Bono Enterprises, Inc. v. Bradshaw (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 968.) In turn, this includes but is not limited to time spent by employees during which they cannot effectively use for their own purposes because the employees are compelled to do so by the necessities of the employer's business. The minimum wage statute applicable to Defendants' business currently provides: "Every employer shall pay to each employee, on the established payday for the period involved, not less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked in the payroll period, whether the remuneration is measured by

time, piece, commission, or otherwise." (Italics added.) This language expresses the intent to ensure that employees be compensated at the minimum wage for each hour worked.

- 14. Labor Code sections 1194 and 1197 require that an employer compensate employees for "hours worked" at least at a minimum wage rate of pay as established by the wage orders.
- 15. Despite that California law requires employers to pay employees for all hours worked at least at a minimum wage rate, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the class to perform non-commission related work for which they received no compensation at all. Defendants then averaged the commission work over all hours work as a subterfuge to make it appear as though Defendants were complying with the minimum wage requirements even though Defendants regularly paid nothing for some hours worked.
- 16. In California, an employer is required to pay an employee for all "hours worked" which includes all time that an employee is under control of the employer and including all time that the employee is suffered and permitted to work. This includes the time an employee spends, either directly or indirectly, performing services which inure to the benefit of the employer and any time during which an employer's policies and procedures do not allow employees to use time effectively for their own purposes. (Morillion v. Royal Packing Co. (2000) 22 Cal.4th 575, 586-588; Bono Enterprises, Inc. v. Bradshaw (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 968.) In turn, this includes but is not limited to time spent by employees during which they cannot effectively use for their own purposes because the employees are compelled to do so by the necessities of the employer's business. Such time includes time an employee is required to clock in, be present (for reasons including but not limited to clocking in prior to a shift or to receive communications) from Defendant (via bell or other form of communication), and/or to be timely located at a work station/location.
- 17. Labor Code sections 510 and 1194 require an employer to compensate employees a higher rate of pay for hours worked in excess of 8 hours in a workday, 40 hours in a workweek, and on any seventh consecutive day of work in a workweek.

Any work in excess of eight hours in one workday and any work in excess of 40 hours in any one workweek and the first eight hours worked on the seventh day of work in any one workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for an employee. Any work in excess of 12 hours in

one day shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay for an employee. In addition, any work in excess of eight hours on any seventh day of a workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay of an employee.

(Lab. Code §510; IWC Wage Orders § 3.)

- 18. Despite that California law requires employers to pay employees for all hours worked and at a higher rate of pay when those hours fall during work periods in excess of 8 hours in a workday and 40 hours in a workweek; Defendants would fail to correctly calculate and pay employees wages for time which Plaintiff and similarly situated employees were under control of Defendants and could not use time effectively for their own purpose for reasons that benefitted Defendants. To the extent the employees had already worked 8 hours in the day and on workweeks they had already worked 40 hours in a workweek, the employees should have been paid *overtime* calculated from the correct regular rate for this unpaid time. Defendants' common and uniform payroll practice of incorrectly calculating the regular rate resulted in Plaintiff and other non-exempt class member employees working time which should have been paid at the legal overtime rate, but was not paid correct premium wages in violation of Labor Code sections 510, 1194, and the Wage Orders.
- 19. **Failure to reimburse expenses pursuant to Labor Code section 2802:** California Labor Code Section 2802 provides in relevant part, "An employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer, even though unlawful, unless the employee, at the time of obeying the directions, believed them to be unlawful."
- 20. Defendants failed to indemnify Plaintiff and the class members for all business expenses and/or losses as required under Labor Code Section 2802 incurred while working under the direction of Defendants. For example, Defendant expected and required Plaintiff and class members to provide and utilize their own personal tools and equipment for work throughout the day without compensation or reimbursement.
  - 21. Pay Stub Violations: California Labor Code section 226(a) provides (inter alia) that,

upon paying an employee his or her wages, the employer must "furnish each of his or her employees ... an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, except for any employee whose compensation is solely based on a salary and who is exempt from payment of overtime under subdivision (a) of Section 515 or any applicable order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided, that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the pay period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and his or her social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee."

22. Defendants here failed to provide accurate wages statements to Plaintiff and similarly situated class member employees.

### V. CLASS DEFINITIONS AND CLASS ALLEGATIONS

23. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself, on behalf of all other non-exempt employees subject to the same policies, and on behalf of the General Public, and as a member of a Class defined as follows:

Class: All current and former non-exempt mechanic and/or technician employees employed by Defendants in California at any time within the four years prior to the filing of the initial complaint in this action and through the date notice is mailed to a certified class.

Waiting Time Sub-Class: All former non-exempt mechanic and/or technician employees employed by Defendants in California at any time within the four years prior to the filing of the initial complaint in this action and through the date notice is mailed to a certified class who did not receive payment of all unpaid wages within the statutory time period.

24. There is a well defined community of interest in the litigation and the class and subclass are ascertainable: issues of law or fact as to Plaintiff, and the Class Members as a whole.

- C. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and the members of the class sustained damages arising out of Defendants' failure to pay wages for all hours of work at the required minimum/overtime wage rate of pay and failure to pay expenses. Plaintiff and the members of the class suffered injury arising out of Defendants' failure to furnish them with accurate itemized wage statements in compliance with Labor Code section 226.
- D. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff has no interest that is adverse to the interests of the other class members.
- E. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Because individual joinder of all members of each class is impractical, class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. The expenses and burdens of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of each class to redress the wrongs done to them, while important public interests will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The cost to and burden on the court system of adjudication of individualized litigation would be substantial, and substantially more than the costs and burdens of a class action. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments.
- F. Public Policy Consideration: Employers throughout the state violate wage and hour laws as a means to control expenses and obtain a competitive advantage in the marketplace. Current employees are often afraid to assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are fearful of bringing actions because they perceive their former employers can blacklist them in their future endeavors through negative references and by other means. Class actions provide the class members who are not named in the complaint with a type of anonymity that allows for vindication of their rights.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

herein by reference.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# FAILURE TO PAY WAGES FOR ALL HOURS OF WORK AT THE LEGAL MINIMUM WAGE RATE IN VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE SECTIONS 1194 AND 1197 (Against all named Defendants and Does 1-100 by the Minimum Wage and Overtime Class)

- 25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-24 above, as if fully set
- 26. At times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff and the members of the class were non-exempt mechanic and/or technician employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code sections 1194 and 1197.
- 27. Pursuant to Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and Wage Orders, Plaintiff and the Minimum Wage and Overtime Class are entitled to receive wages for all hours worked and those wages must be paid at least at the minimum/overtime wage rate in effect during the time the employees earned the wages.
  - 28. Labor Code section 510, subdivision (a), states in relevant part:

Eight hours of labor constitutes a day's work. Any work in excess of eight hours in one workday and any work in excess of 40 hours in any one workweek and the first eight hours worked on the seventh day of work in any one workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for an employee. Any work in excess of 12 hours in one day shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay for an employee. In addition, any work in excess of eight hours on any seventh day of a workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay of an employee. Nothing in this section requires an employer to combine more than one rate of overtime compensation in order to calculate the amount to be paid to an employee for any hour of overtime work.

- 29. Defendants' payroll policies and procedures failed to compensate the class at the required regular and/or premium overtime wage rates for all time that they were under control of Defendants and all time that they could not effectively use time for their own purposes because of the necessities of the employer's business.
  - 30. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and members of the class have

suffered damages in an amount subject to proof, to the extent that they were not paid wages at a minimum/overtime wage rate for hours worked as required by law.

31. Pursuant to California Labor Code Sections 1194 and 1194.2, Plaintiff and the Minimum Wage Class are entitled to recover unpaid minimum/overtime wages, interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### FAILURE TO REIMBURSE EXPENSES LABOR CODE SECTION 2802

(Against all named Defendants and Does 1-100 by the class)

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 31 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. California Labor Code Section 2802 provides in relevant part, "An employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer, even though unlawful, unless the employee, at the time of obeying the directions, believed them to be unlawful."
- 34. Defendants failed to indemnify Plaintiff and the class members for all business expenses and/or losses as required under Labor Code Section 2802 incurred while working under the direction of Defendants. For example, Defendant expected and required Plaintiff and class members to provide and utilize their own personal tools and equipment for work throughout the day without compensation or reimbursement. Plaintiff and the class were not reimbursed for such expenses as required by Labor Code section 2802.
- 35. Defendants' unlawful conduct alleged herein occurred in the course of employment of Plaintiff and all others similarly situated and such conduct has continued through the filing of this Complaint.
- 36. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the class, seeks damages and all other relief allowable for expense reimbursement required by the aforementioned labor code and pre-judgment interest.

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

## FAILURE TO PROVIDE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE WAGE STATEMENTS IN VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE SECTION 226

(Against all named Defendants and Does 1-100 by the Wage Statement Class)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 36 of this complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 38. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other members of the class were non-exempt mechanic and/or technician employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code Section 226.
- 39. Defendants do not comply with the requirements of Labor Code Section 226 which requires that "every employer shall, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, furnish each of his or her employees, either as a detachable part of the check, draft, or voucher paying the employee's wages, or separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an accurate itemized statement in writing showing:
  - (1) gross wages earned,
  - (2) total hours worked by the employee, except for any employee whose compensation is solely based on a salary and who is exempt from payment of overtime under subdivision (a) of Section 515 or any applicable order of the Industrial Welfare Commission,
  - (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis,
  - (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item,
  - (5) net wages earned,
  - (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid,
  - (7) the name of the employee (and the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number *may* be shown on the itemized statement),
  - (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and
  - (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

Defendants fail to provide accurate and complete information, as specified in items 1, 5 and 9 above

as set forth in section 226(a).

- 40. California Labor Code Section 226(e) provides: "An employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and intentional failure by an employer to comply with subdivision (a) shall be entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and shall be entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees." Plaintiff and the class members suffered injuries as defined and set forth in California Labor Code Section 226(e) because, in addition to Defendants' failure to provide accurate and complete information, as specified in items 1, 5 and 9 above as set forth in section 226(a), Plaintiff and the class could not "promptly and easily determine" from the wage statement alone the correct hourly rate in effect during the pay period (i.e., without reference to other documents or information).
- 41. During the class period, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and class members, with timely and accurate wage and hour statements showing gross wages earned, net wages earned, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period with the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by that individual.
- 42. As alleged herein, Plaintiff and class members are/were not exempt from the requirements of California's labor laws and regulations. Plaintiff and class members were and will be injured by Defendants' failure to comply with the aforementioned requirements for time records and wage statements.
- 43. Based on Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and class members for damages and penalties for each labor code violation, injunctive relief, plus interest, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### FAILURE TO PAY ALL WAGES TIMELY UPON SEPARATION OF EMPLOYMENT

(Against all named Defendants and Does 1-100 by the Waiting Time Class)

44. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 43 of this complaint as if fully alleged herein.

- 45. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other members of the Waiting Time Sub-Class were employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code Sections 201 or 202.
- 46. Pursuant to Labor Code Sections 201 or 202, Plaintiff and members of the Waiting Time Sub-Class were entitled upon termination to timely payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to termination. Discharged employees were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to discharge immediately upon termination. Employees who resigned were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to resignation within 72 hours after giving notice of resignation or, if they gave 72 hours previous notice, they were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to resignation at the time of resignation.
- 47. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the Sub-Class all wages earned and unpaid prior to termination in accordance with Labor Code Section 201 or 202. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times within the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendants maintained a policy or practice of not paying hourly employees upon separation of employment wages for all unpaid wages and/or not paying them final wages timely upon separation of employment.
- 48. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and members of the Sub-Class all wages earned prior to termination timely in accordance with Labor Code Sections 201 or 202 was willful. Defendants had the ability to pay all wages earned by hourly workers prior to termination in accordance with Labor Code Sections 201 or 202, but willfully adopted policies or practices incompatible with the requirements of Labor Code Sections 201 or 202. Defendants' practices included but are not limited to failing to pay wages for time employees were under control of Defendants and failing to properly calculate and pay all overtime in compliance with California law. When Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the Sub-Class timely upon termination all wages earned prior to termination, Defendants knew what they were doing and willfully did what they did. These unpaid wages included all minimum wages and unpaid overtime.
- 49. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 201 and/or 202, Plaintiff and members of the Sub-Class are entitled to all wages earned prior to termination that Defendants did not pay them.
  - 50. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 203, Plaintiff and members of the Sub-Class are

business practices.

57. Plaintiff also seeks an injunction against Defendants on behalf of the California Class enjoining Defendants, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein.

### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION [Penalties Pursuant to Labor Code Section 2698-99]

- 58. Plaintiff herein re-alleges and incorporates each and every allegation set forth in the proceeding paragraphs and brings this cause of action on a representative basis on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated.
- 59. As a further and direct proximate result of the aforementioned allegations asserting violations of various labor code provisions, Plaintiff is entitled to recover penalties pursuant to the authority provided by California Labor Code Sections 2698-99 (Private Attorney General's Act aka PAGA). Plaintiff seeks statutory penalties for violations of the labor code sections violated for the amount set forth in the labor code section and where no amount is set forth for penalties then as set forth in Labor Code Sections 2698-99.
- 60. Plaintiff has taken the steps necessary to exhaust administrative remedies and Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies.
- 61. Plaintiff has received a letter from the Labor Workforce Development Agency and/or the 33 days has expired since mailing the Labor Workforce Development Agency and therefore Plaintiff has been advised by the Labor Workforce Development Agency that it will not take action in this matter and allows Plaintiff to move forward to recover these penalties on his own behalf as an aggrieved employee and on behalf of all other similarly situated employees as allowed and authorized under Labor Code Sections 2698-99.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

1

1	3.	For pre-judgment interest;	
2	4.	For attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and,	
3	5.	For such and other further relief, in law and/or equity, as the Court deems just or	
4	appropriate.		
5		ON THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:	
6	1.	That the Defendants be found to have violated the provisions of the Labor Code	
7	regarding proper itemized paystubs as to the Wage Statement Class;		
8	2.	For damages, according to proof, including damages under Labor Code section	
9	226(e) and an	y other legally applicable damages;	
10	3.	For pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest;	
11	4.	For attorneys' fees and costs of suit, including but not limited to that recoverable	
12	under Califor	nia Labor Code section 226(e); and,	
13	5.	For such and other further relief, in law and/or equity, as the Court deems just or	
14	appropriate.		
15		ON THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION:	
16	1.	That the Defendants be found to have violated the provisions of the Labor Code	
17	regarding pay	ment of wages due upon resignation or termination as to the Sub-Class;	
18	2.	For damages, according to proof, including damages and remedies allowed under	
19	Labor Code so	ection 203;	
20	3.	For pre-judgment interest; and,	
21	4.	For such and other further relief, in law and/or equity, as the Court deems just or	
22	appropriate.		
23		ON THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION:	
24	1.	That the Defendants be found to have violated Business and Professions Code	
25	section 17200	, et.seq., for the conduct alleged herein as to all Classes;	
26	2.	A declaratory judgment that the practices complained herein are unlawful;	
27	3.	An injunction against Defendants enjoining them, and any and all persons acting in	
28	concert with t	hem, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth	

1	herein;
2	4. For restitution to the full extent permitted by law; and,
3	5. For such and other further relief, in law and/or equity, as the Court deems just o
4	appropriate.
5	ON THE SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION:
6	1. For the maximum statutory penalties as set forth in the California Labor Code
7	and/or the Private Attorney's General Act for each labor code violation alleged herein.
8	2. For an award of attorney fees and costs; and other relief as permitted, and
9	3. For such and other further relief, in law and/or equity, as the Court deems just o
10	appropriate.
11	
12	
13	Dated: July 22, 2013 Respectfully submitted,
14	RIGHETTI GLUGOSKI, P.C.
15	- Mishing in the
16	By: ////////////////////////////////////
17	<sup>l</sup> Attorneys for PLAINTIFF
18	
19	<u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>
20	PLAINTIFF demands a trial by jury for himself and the Class on all claims so triable.
21	
22	Dated: July 22, 2013 Respectfully submitted,
23	
24	RIGHETTI GLUGOSKI, P.C.
25	
26	By: 1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/
27	/ Matthew Righetti Attorneys for PLAINTIFF
28	

### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. and DOES 1-100 inclusive, Defendants.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

MICHAEL REHSE on behalf himself and others similarly situated, Plaintiff,

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)			

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Riverside County Superior Court

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):

RIC 1307773

4050 Main Street Riverside, CA 92501

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Matthew Righetti (121012), Righetti Glugoski, P.C., 456 Montgomery St. #1400, San Francisco, CA 94104

DATE: (Fecha)	Clerk, by (Secretario)	, Deputy (Adjunto)
	this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) ga de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).	
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served  1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):  3 on behalf of (specify): BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.	
	under:   CCP 416.10 (corporation)	•
	other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):	Page 1 of 1